Case 2:22-cr-00155-JFW Document 46 Filed 10/07/22 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:545

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney SCOTT M. GARRINGER Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division JEREMIAH LEVINE (Cal. Bar No. 2883) Assistant United States Attorney Violent and Organized Crimes Secti 1300 United States Courthouse 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-8323 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141 E-mail: Jeremiah.Levir	con 2 3
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. 2:22-CR-00155-JFW
13	Plaintiff,	GOVERNMENT'S EX PARTE APPLICATION REQUESTING THAT VICTIM STATEMENTS
14	V.	BE HEARD IN CAMERA
15	DERRICK PATTERSON, aka "11in,"	
16	aka "11-inches," aka "11 inches Hung,"	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:22-cr-00155-JFW Document 46 Filed 10/07/22 Page 2 of 3 Page ID #:546

1	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel	
2	of record, the United States Attorney for the Central District of	
3	California and Assistant United States Attorney Jeremiah Levine,	
4	hereby makes this <u>ex parte</u> application for victim statements to be	
5	heard in camera.	
6	Dated: October 7, 2022 Respectfully submitted,	
7	E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney	
8		
9	SCOTT M. GARRINGER Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division	
11	/s/ Jeremiah Levine	
12	JEREMIAH LEVINE Assistant United States Attorney	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 32(i)(4)(B) says, "Before imposing sentence, the court must address any victim of the crime who is present at sentencing and must permit the victim to be reasonably heard." The next section of the Rule, which is 32(i)(4)(C), says: "Upon a party's motion and for good cause, the court may hear in camera any statement made under Rule 32(i)(4)." As result, this Court may choose to hear victim statements in camera upon a showing of good cause.

This case concerns a series of robberies. The government alleges that defendant lured his robbery victims using the promise of homosexual encounters. The government further alleges that sometimes defendant even used homosexual contact to distract victims to advance the robberies.

Several of the victims in this case have expressed interest in making statements at sentencing. Several have also expressed that the trauma of their robberies made them scared to do so. The government believes that this is particularly understandable given that some of the robberies involved the promise or reality of stigmatized homosexual contact.

For these reasons, the government respectfully requests that victims be allowed to testify in camera, in the presence of defense counsel but not defendant. Defense counsel takes no position on this request.